# A Study on Financial Problems Faced by Poultry **Farmers with Reference to Western Tamil Nadu**

\*V. R. Nedunchizhien \*\*R. Karthikeyan

#### **Abstract**

The Indian poultry industry is one of the fastest growing segments of the agricultural sector and is also providing employment opportunities to lakhs of people. Driving this expansion are a combination of factors like growth in per capita income, a growing urban population, and falling real poultry prices. Poultry exports are mostly to Maldives and Oman. Indian poultry meat products have good markets in Japan, Malaysia, Indonesia, and Singapore. In India poultry industry is suffering from poor infrastructure for export which is hindering the export of poultry products, competition from international players in opening up duty-free imports. lifting of trade barriers, lack of FDI in India in this sector, availability of very few state of the art processing plants and post harvest technologies, increasing propaganda and demonstrations by organizations for promoting vegetarianism and animal rights, occurrence of Salmonella and cholesterol in poultry meat. Many countries are dumping their poultry products i.e. exporting eggs at prices lower than production cost, Indian poultry industries are mainly suffering from financial problems and this article is focused on how to handle the financial problems.

Keywords: Economy, financial problems, livestock, poultry farmers

Paper Submission Date: May 2, 2018; Paper sent back for revision: May 25, 2018; Paper Acceptance Date: June 5, 2018

ndia ranks first in having the largest livestock population in the world. Livestock plays an important role in the national economy as well as in socio-economic development (Bagharwal, 1989, p. 32) by augmenting family income and generating gainful employment in rural areas, particularly for the landless, small and marginal farmers, and women. With its 1.2 billion population and 8% GDP growth rate, India is rapidly emerging as one of the biggest markets in the world. Livestock sector contributes approximately 4% to GDP, and 27% to agricultural GDP. Poultry and dairy sectors are the major sectors contributing to economic development. The poultry sector has undergone a paradigm shift in structure and operation during the last two decades. It has transformed itself from a mere backyard activity into a major commercial activity with participation of big players as also successful implementation of contract poultry farming on a large scale (Mohanty & Rajendran, 2003; Vershinin, 1986).

In India, poultry farming as an industry has shown impressive record of growth in recent years. Poultry farming offers entrepreneurial opportunities for agriculturists. Farmers, both small and marginal find this to be an effective supplementary enterprise. For medium and large farmers too, this industry has opened up avenues to increase their income. Poultry farming is seen as the main source of income by many agriculturists due to the uncertainties in the sources of agricultural income and large quantities of agro-industrial byproducts, which are used as feed ingredients for transformation into eggs and meat. Poultry industry is providing large employment opportunities to the unskilled rural population. Realizing this, the development plans of the government, both central and state encourages poultry farming, dairying, goat and sheep rearing, and other similar pursuits with the provision of simple training.

In very recent times, over a span of no more than 30 years, there have been greater changes in methods of keeping poultry than probably in any other sector of the world's livestock agricultural production. It has now become the most

E-mail: vrn@kctbs.ac.in

E-mail: dr.rvkk@gmail.com

<sup>\*</sup>Professor; Department of Management Studies, Kumuraguru College of Technology, Coimbatore - 641 049, Tamil Nadu.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Deputy Manager, Lakshmi Machine Works Ltd., Coimbatore- 641020, Tamil Nadu.

intensive of all branches of livestock farming. In many countries, poultry are now ahead of all other livestock in economic importance. Productivity has increased remarkably.

Apart from direct employment on the farm, people away from the production center are also employed for transportation and distribution among consumers. It has an enormous potential to improve the socio-economic status of rural people, particularly landless, small, and marginal farmers as it requires minimum capital investment which gives quick and good return. It is a promising agri-business for eradicating hunger, alleviating poverty, helping to check migration to cities by providing opportunity for employment especially, to rural young men and women. The employment potential of poultry in India can be understood from the fact that India's share in the total world poultry trade is negligible.

#### Integrated Contract Farming

A broiler contract growing arrangement is generally a contract between an *integrator* (company) which supplies the intermediate inputs and procures the output, and a grower (farmer), who provides the primary inputs in the production process(Abhiram, 2001; Devaraj & Anurag, 2010). The integrator provides day-old-chicks, feeds, veterinary supplies and services, and implements the final marketing of the output.

The contract grower typically provides the space and facilities (land and housing), manure, and dead bird disposal, equipment, utilities, labor (family and/or hired), day-to-day farm management, and deals with the neighbors and local authorities (Abhiram, 2001). There are two main types of contracts: fee (or wage) contracts (by bird or by weight) and forward-price contracts (guaranteed or/and with profit-sharing). They differ mainly in the mode of grower compensation, in the accounting and shouldering of growing stock and feeds, in the need to monitor production activities, and in the need for enforcement of actual deliveries. They also differ accordingly in the incentives, penalties, risks, and the provisions for defaults.

#### **Statement of the Problem**

Poultry farming (broiler) offers very good entrepreneurial opportunities for the farmers in Western India. The climatic condition of this region is suitable for poultry farms. Hence, poultry farming is considered a profitable and supplementary enterprise for the agricultural farmers of this area. In spite of this, the present farmers (Chengappa, 2010) are facing many problems in broiler farming such as mobilizing of working capital, payment of agriculture loans, diseases and intensification, poor quality of chicks, lack of medicine, increasing farmers inputs like water, electricity, chalk coal, improper guidance of staff who monitor the batch and deputed by the integrator, penalties by the integrator on the performance of the batch etc. This situation motivated the researcher to study the financial problems faced by the broiler farmers in the region (Gnanakumar, 2007). This study will also help the integrators to understand the financial impact faced by the farmers.

### **Objectives and Scope of the Study**

The objective of this study is to understand the financial problems faced by farmers, and the factors causing these problems in Coimbatore region. The study is based on the data provided by the respondents in the region. It delves into opportunities for poultry integrators in the region and it will also help the chick growers (famers) to understand whether their units are running in profit or loss and advantages of integrated poultry farming. It also helps farmers in their minimum investment decision in this sector. The study also helps to know the profit potentials of integrated poultry production and provides some suggestions to maximize their profits through integration, and to improve the performance of the poultry farming business.

## **Research Hypotheses**

The following hypothesis was framed:

The problems and challenges faced by the farmers in the poultry industry are significantly related to their contract

farming operations and their investment and profitability of the business. This hypothesis is cascaded into the following research hypothesis:

The causes related to finance expressed by farmers do not have any significant association with the financial problems faced by farmers in terms of less growing charges per kilogram of chicken production, less returns on investment, and delay in growing charges payment.

### Methodology

- (1) Research Method: Survey method was used for this study as it is the most appropriate method for descriptive research design. Survey can be used to learn about farmers and opinions of integrators, preferences, perception, satisfaction, etc. A survey instrument (questionnaire) was developed and the respondents were asked to fill it up. The respondents were personally interviewed by the researcher in their farmer/organization, as it is the preferred method for doing surveys in India, and the data were collected. We had chosen this survey method because the questionnaire was extensive and getting the co-operation of respondents when they were working in their farm or organization, inbetween their work was difficult. Through survey method, we can measure sample statistics of various variables and the sample statistics can be used for estimating population parameters.
- (2) Area and Period of the Study: The area of the study is confined to Western Tamil Nadu that includes districts namely, Coimbatore, Erode, and Tirupur, and the present study deals with broiler farms only. The study was conducted from June 2015 to March 2016.
- (3) Type of Research: The classification of type of research in real life situations may not be confined to a particular type strictly. The research design provides the framework to be used as a guide in collecting and analyzing data. This study broadly follows descriptive research design. As the name implies, the major objective of descriptive research is to describe something, usually farmers and integrator characteristics or functions. Cross-sectional descriptive research design was used. Cross-sectional design is a one- shot research study at a given point of time and consists of a sample (cross-section) of the population of interest.
- (4) Sources of Data: Both primary and secondary data were collected so as to fulfil the various objectives of the research study. This research study is explorative in nature. For the purpose of collection of data, a detailed interview schedule was prepared to collect data from the farmers. Adequate care was exercised to collect unbiased data from the respondents. All the respondents were personally interviewed by the researcher and responses were recorded in the schedule.
- (5) Sampling Method: The interview schedule was structured in a simple and understandable way to cover the objective of the study. The method of sampling adopted for the study was proportionate random sampling method. This sampling method was adopted to find out the samples and the proportionate value of each taluk was calculated and based on the values, samples were finalized by snowballing technique to identify the respondents.
- (6) Sample Design: For the purpose of the study, the total numbers of farmers selected for the study was 375 from the farmers' population of 6011 which were taken into account. To find out the farmers' sample size from the farmers' population, the researcher used Taro Yamane's formula.

```
Taro Yamane's formula: n = N/[1+N(e)^2]
```

= sample size, where,

> N= population size (The universe),

= sampling error (usually 0.10, 0.05, and 0.01 acceptable error),

= raised to the power of.

(7) Tools Used for Analysis: Descriptive statistical measures namely, frequency distribution, arithmetic mean, standard deviation, and inferential statistics namely, Chi square test, F - test, and t-test were used in this study.

### **Working Capital and Other Financial Related Responses of Farmers**

Table 1. Farmers' Response to Growing Charge and Production Cost in Poultry Contract Farming

•	0 0	,	U
Variable	Responses	Number of Respondents	%
Growing charges per kilogram chicken	Less than ₹ 3.5	9	2.4
	₹ 3.6 to ₹ 4	118	31.5
	₹ 4.1 to ₹ 4.5	80	21.3
	₹ 4.6 to ₹ 5.0	64	17.1
	₹ 5.1 to ₹ 5.5	94	25.1
	₹5.6 and above	10	2.7
Production cost per kilogram of chicken	₹ 40 to ₹ 50	53	14.1
	₹51 to ₹60	117	31.2
	₹ 61 to ₹ 70	25	54.6

The farmers reported that, finance related problems are less growing charge per kilogram of chicken they produced and the return on investment is less Companies were delaying growing charge payment of the framers (Table 1). The causes for these problems were reported by the farmers as: high production cost, delay in payment of growing charges, more number of days to take the growing charges payments, and sourcing of major source of working capital (Kumar, & Kumar, 2008). The interview schedule constructed to contain information about these factors is given below:

Hypothesis: The causes related to finance expressed by farmers do not have any significant association with the financial problems faced by them in terms of less growing charges per kilogram of chicken production, less returns on investment, and delay in growing charges payment.

#### Finance Related Problems and Their Causes

**Table 2. Finance Related Problems and Their Causes** 

Serial number	Problems	Causes
1	Less growing charge per kilogram of chicken	Production cost
		Growing charge per kilogram of chicken.
		On time payment of growing charges
		Growing charge payment days
		Major source of working capital
2	Less return on investment	Production cost
		Growing charge per kilogram
		On time payment of growing charges
		Growing charge payment days
		Major source of working capital
3	Delay in growing charge payment	Production cost
		Growing charge per kilogram
		On time payment of growing charges
		Growing charge payment days
		Major source of working capital

On the basis of Table 2, to find whether the causes expressed by farmers are significantly associated with the problems of less growing charge per kilogram of chicken, less return on investment, and delay in growing charge payment, the following hypothesis was framed: delay in payment of growing charges, more number of days to take charges payments, and sourcing of major working capital do not have any significant association with financial problems faced by the farmers.

**Hypothesis:** Less growing charge per kilogram of chicken does not have any significant association with production cost, growing charge per kilogram, on time payment of growing charges, growing charge payment days, and major source of working capital.

Regarding production cost per kilogram of chicken as mentioned in Table 3, 205 respondents said that production cost is ₹ 60 to ₹ 70 and among these, 85.4% reported they are getting the growing charges per kilogram of chicken as ₹ 3.50 to ₹ 4.50 per kilogram, and 10.2% have reported the growing charges per kilogram of chicken as ₹ 4.50 to ₹ 5.50 per kilogram. Among the 117 respondents, 80.3% have reported the growing charges per kilogram of chicken as ₹4.50 to ₹ 5.50 per kilogram. Among the 53 respondents, 81.1% have reported the growing charges per kilogram of chicken production as ₹ 4.50 to ₹ 5.50 per kilogram. The calculated chi-square value 270.87 is higher than the table value of 16.812 at 1% level. Hence, it can be inferred that growing charge per kilogram is significantly related to production cost per kilogram.

Regarding on-time payment of growing charges, 127 respondents disagreed that the payment of growing charges was on time, and among these, 57.5% reported they are getting growing charges per kilogram of chicken as ₹ 3.50 to ₹ 4.50 per kilogram. 42.5% reported the growing charges per kilogram of chicken as ₹ 4.50 to ₹ 5.50 per kilogram. Among the 115 respondents, neither agreed nor disagreed with the on-time payment of growing charges and among these, 55.7% reported that growing charges per kilogram of chicken as ₹ 3.50 to ₹ 4.50 per kilogram. 70 respondents agreed that there was on-time payment of growing charges and among these, 51.4% reported growing charges per kilogram of chicken as ₹ 4.50 to ₹ 5.50 per kilogram. The calculated chi-square value is 73.981, which is higher than the table value of 26.217 at 1% level. Hence, it can be inferred that growing charge per kilogram is significantly related to on-time payment of growing charges.

Table 3. Relationship Between Growing Charge per Kilogram of Chicken Production and its Causes

Finance	(	Growing cha	rge p	er kilogram	of c	hicken produ	ıctior	ı (₹)	Total Chi-Square							
Problems	_	Less than ₹ 3	3.50	₹ 3.50-4.5	0	₹ 4.50-5.5	50	₹ 5.50-6.5	50							
		Number of respondents		Number of respondent		Number of respondents	%	Number of respondents		Number of respondents	%	Value	Df	Sig.	Table value	
Production	₹ 40-50	0	0	0	0	43	81.1	10	18.9	53	100.0	270.87	6	**	16.812	
cost	₹ 50-60	0 0	0	23	19.	7 94	80.3	0	0	117	100.0					
	₹ 60-70	9	4.4	175	85.	4 21	10.2	0	0	205	100.0					
On time	Strongly A	gree 0	0	19	51.	4 18	48.6	0	0	37	100.0	73.981	12	**	26.217	
payments	Agree	0	0	24	34.	3 36	51.4	10	14.3	70	100.0					
of growing charges	Neither Ag nor Disag	•	7.8	64	55.	7 42	36.5			115	100.0					
	Disagre	е		73	57.	5 54	42.5			127	100.0					
9	Strongly Dis	agree		18	69.	2 8	30.8			26	100.0					
Number of	4-6 Day	/S		9	45.	0 11	55.0			20	100.0	20.923	9	*	21.666	
days to take	7-10 Da	ys		24	58.	5 17	41.5			41	100.0					
the growing	11-14 Da	iys		26	86.	7 4	13.3			30	100.0					
charges	15 and	9	3.2	139	48.	9 126	44.4	10	3.5	284	100.0					
payments	Above Da	ays														
Major source	e Bank loa	an 9	7.9	87	76.	3 18	15.8			114	100.0	81.817	6	**	16.812	
of working	Own mor	ney		102	40.	5 140	55.6	10	4.0	252	100.0					

capital	Both			9	100.0					9	100.0
Total		9	2.4	198	52.8	158	42.1	10	2.7	375	100.0

Note: NS - Not Significant, \* - Significant at 5% level, \*\* - Significant at 1% level

Regarding total time taken for paying the growing charges to farmers, 284 respondents said that the total time taken for paying the growing charges to farmers is 15 days and above; among these, 48.9% reported that they are getting growing charges per kilogram of chicken as  $\ge 3.50$  to  $\ge 4.50$  per kilogram. 44.4% reported that growing charges per kilogram of chicken are  $\ge 4.50$  to  $\ge 5.50$  per kilogram. The chi-square value is 20.923, which is less than the table value of 21.666 at 1% level. Hence, it can be inferred that growing charges per kilogram are not significantly related to total time taken for paying the growing charges to farmers.

Regarding source of working capital, 252 respondents said that the source of working capital is own money and among these, 55.6% reported they are getting growing charges per kilogram of chicken production as ₹ 4.50 to ₹ 5.50 per kilogram, and 40.5% reported the growing charges per kilogram of chicken as ₹ 3.50 to ₹ 4.50 per kilogram. 114 respondents said that the source of working capital is bank loan and among these, 76.3% reported the growing charges per kilogram of chicken as ₹ 3.50 to ₹ 4.50 per kilogram. 9 respondents said that the source of working capital is own and loan money, and the growing charges per kilogram of chicken production as ₹ 3.50 to ₹ 4.50 per kilogram. The calculated chi-square value is 81.817, which is higher than the table value of 16.812 at 1% level. Hence, it can be inferred that growing charge per kilogram is significantly related to the source of working capital. Chi-square values are found to be greater than the table value at 1% level of significance for these variables. Hence, the hypothesis related to growing charge per kilogram with respect to production cost per kilogram of chicken, on-time payment of growing charges, and source of working capital.

**Hypothesis:** Less returns on investment in the business do not have significant association with production cost, growing charge per kilogram, on time payment of growing charges, growing charge payment days, and major source of working capital.

Regarding production cost per kilogram of chicken as mentioned in Table 4, 205 respondents said that production cost is  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  60 to  $\stackrel{?}{_{\sim}}$  70 and among these, 52.2% reported return on investment in the business as less-than 5%. 24.4% reported the return on investment in the business as 11 to 15%. Among the 117 respondents, 58.1% reported the return on investment in the business as 5-10%. 53 respondents, that is, 67.9% reported the return on investment in the business as less than 5%. The calculated chi-square value 84.792 is higher than the table value of 13.277 at 1% level. Hence, it can be inferred that return on investment in the business is significantly related to production cost per kilogram.

Regarding growing charges per kilogram of chicken, 198 respondents said that the growing charges per kilogram of chicken is  $\ge 3.50$  to  $\ge 4.50$  and among these, 42.4% reported that they are getting less than 5% return on investment in the business. 40.4% reported return on investment in the business as 5-10%. 158 respondents said that growing charges per kilogram of chicken is  $\ge 4.50$  to  $\ge 5.50$  and among these, 56.3% reported the return on investment in the business as less than 5%. The calculated chi-square value 31.892 is higher than the table value of 16.812 at 1% level. Hence, it can be inferred that growing charges per kilogram are significantly related to return on investment in the business.

Regarding on-time payment of growing charges, 127 respondents disagreed about the on-time payment of growing charges and among these, 58.3% reported the return on investment in the business as less than 5%. 29.1% reported the return on investment in the business as 5% - 10%. 115 respondents neither agreed nor disagreed about on-time payment of growing charges and among these, 63.5% reported return on investment in the business as less than 5%. The calculated chi-square value 105.503 is higher than the table value of 20.090 at 1% level. Hence, it can be inferred that return on investment in the business is significantly related to on-time payment of growing charges.

Regarding total time taken for paying the growing charges to farmers, 284 respondents said that total time taken for paying growing charges to farmers is 15 days and above, and among these 53.9% reported that the return on investment in the business is less than 5%. 31% reported the return on investment in the business as 5%-10%. The calculated chi-square value 19.801 is less than the table value of 16.812 at 1% level. Hence, it can be inferred that return on investment in the business is significantly related to total time taken for paying the growing charges to farmers.

Regarding source of working capital, 252 respondents said that the source of working capital is own money and among these, 55.2% reported the return on investment in the business as less than 5%. 31.7% reported the return on

Table 4. Relationship Between Return on Investment in the Business and its Causes

Finance	Ret	urn on	investment in	the bu	usiness		Total		Chi-Square			
Problems	Less than 5% 5-10%				11-15	%	•	Value	Df	Sig.	Table	
	Number of respondents	%	Number of respondents	% ;	Number of respondents	%	Number of respondents	%				value
Production ₹40-50	36	67.9	00	0	17	32.1	53	100	84.792	4	**	13.277
<b>cost</b> ₹ 50-60	49	41.9	68	58.1	0	0	117	100				
₹ 60-70	107	52.2	48	23.4	50	24.4	205	100				
Growing Less than ₹ 3.5	50 9	100.0	0	0	0	0	9	100	31.892	6	**	16.812
<b>Charge</b> ₹ 3.50-4.50	84	42.4	80	40.4	34	17.2	198	100				
per kg ₹ 4.50-5.50	89	56.3	36	22.8	33	20.9	158	100				
₹ 5.50-6.50	10	100.0	0	0	0	0	10	100				
On time Strongly Agre	e 9	24.3	9	24.3	19	51.4	37	100	105.503	8	**	20.090
payment Agree	36	51.4	26	37.1	8	11.4	70	100				
of growing Neither Agree	e											
<b>charges</b> nor Disagree	73	63.5	18	15.7	24	20.9	115	100				
Disagree	74	58.3	37	29.1	16	12.6	127	100				
Strongly Disagr	ree 0	0	26	100.0	0	0	26	100				
<b>Growing</b> 4-6 Days	11	55.0	5	25.0	4	20.0	20	100	19.801	6	**	16.812
charge 7-10 Days	13	31.7	11	26.8	17	41.5	41	100				
payment 11-14 Days	15	50.0	12	40.0	3	10.0	30	100				
days 15 and Above D	Days 153	53.9	88	31.0	43	15.1	284	100				
Major Bank loan	44	38.6	36	31.6	34	29.8	114	100	25.290	4	**	13.277
source of Own money	139	55.2	80	31.7	33	13.1	252	100				
working capital Both	9	100.0	0	0	0	0	9	100				
Total	192	51.2	116	30.9	67	17.9	375	100				

Note: NS - Not Significant, \* - Significant at 5% level, \*\* - Significant at 1% level

investment in the business as 5-10%, and 13.1% reported the return on investment in the business as 11-15%. 114 respondents said that the source of working capital is bank loan and among these, 38.6% reported the return on investment in the business as less than 5%. 9 respondents said that the source of working capital is own money and loan, and the return on investment in the business is less than 5%. The calculated chi-square value 25.290 is higher than the table value of 13.277 at 1% level. Hence, it can be inferred that return on investment in the business is significantly related to source of working capital.

The chi-square values are found to be greater than the table value at 1% level of significance for these variables. Hence, the hypothesis related to return on investment in the business with respect to the production cost per kilogram of chicken, growing charge per kilogram of on-time payment of growing charges, total time taken for paying the growing charges to farmers, and source of working capital.

**Hypothesis:** Delay in growing charge payments does not have significant association with production cost, growing charge per kilogram, on time payment of growing charges, growing charge payment days, and major source of working capital.

Regarding production cost per kilogram of chicken, 205 respondents said that production cost is ₹ 60 to ₹ 70 and among these, 65.9% have reported that there is no delay in growing charge payments. 34.1% reported the delay in growing charge payments. Among the 117 respondents, 69.2% reported there is no delay in growing charge payments. 53 respondents (83%) reported there is no delay in growing charge payments (Table 5). The calculated chi-square value

Table 5. Relationship Between Delay in Growing Charge Payments and its Causes

Finance Problen	ns			Delay ir	n growing	charge payment	ts						
	_	No		Yes		Total			Chi-Square				
		Number of espondents	%	Number of respondents	%	Number of respondents	%	Value	Df	Sig.	Table Value		
<b>Production cost</b>	₹ 40-50	44	83.0	9	17.0	53	100.0	5.837	2	Ns	5.991		
	₹ 50-60	81	69.2	36	30.8	117	100.0						
	₹ 60-70	135	65.9	70	34.1	205	100.0						
Growing charge	Less than ₹ 3.50	9	100.0	0	0	9	100.0	31.753	3	**	11.345		
per kilogram	₹ 3.50-4.50	130	65.7	68	34.3	198	100.0						
	₹ 4.50-5.50	121	76.6	37	23.4	158	100.0						
	₹ 5.50-6.50	0	0	10	100.0	10	100.0						
On time	Strongly Agree	19	51.4	18	48.6	37	100.0	75.945	4	**	13.277		
payment of	Agree	44	62.9	26	37.1	70	100.0						
<b>growing</b> Neit	her Agree nor Disa	agree115	100.0	0	00	115	100.0						
charges	Disagree	67	52.8	60	47.2	127	100.0						
	Strongly Disagree	e 15	57.7	11	42.3	26	100.0						
Growing charge	4-6 Days	20	75.0	0	25.0	20	100.0	43.28	3	**	11.345		
payment days	7-10 Days	41	78.0	0	22.0	41	100.0						
	11-14 Days	27	53.3	3	46.7	30	100.0						
1	5 and Above Da	ys 172	69.4	112	30.6	284	100.0						
Major source	Bank loan	67	58.8	47	41.2	114	100.0	11.569	2	**	9.210		
of working	Own money	184	73.0	68	27.0	252	100.0						
capital	Both	9	100.0	0	0	9	100.0						
Total		260	69.3	115	30.7	375	100.0						

2.NS - Not Significant, \* - Significant at 5% level, \*\* - Significant at 1% level

5.837is less than the table value of 5.991 at 1% level. Hence, it can be inferred that production cost per kilogram is significantly not related to delay in growing charge payments.

Regarding growing charges per kilogram of chicken, 198 respondents said that the growing charges per kilogram of chicken are ₹ 3.50 to ₹ 4.50 and among these, 65.7% reported that there is no delay in growing charge payments. 34.3% reported that there is a delay in growing charge payments. 158 respondents said that the growing charges per kilogram of chicken are ₹ 4.50 to ₹ 5.50 and among these, 76.6% reported that there is no delay in growing charge payments. The calculated chi-square value 31.753 is higher than the table value of 11.345 at 1% level. Hence, it can be inferred that growing charge per kilogram is significantly related to delay in growing charge payments.

Regarding on-time payment of growing charges, 127 respondents disagreed with the on-time payment of growing charges and among these, 52.8% reported that there is no delay in growing charge payments. 47.2% reported that there is a delay in growing charge payments. 115 respondents neither agreed nor disagreed that payment of growing charges is on-time and everyone reported that there is no delay in growing charge payments. The calculated chi-square value is 75.945 higher than the table value of 13.277 at 1% level. Hence, it can be inferred that there is delay in growing charge payments and it is significantly related to on-time payment of growing charges.

Regarding total time taken for paying the growing charges to farmers, 284 respondents said that total time taken for paying growing charges to farmers is 15 days and above, and among these 69.4% reported that there is no delay in growing charge payments. 30.6% reported that there is a delay in growing charge payments. The calculated chi-square value 43.28 is less than the table value of 11.345 at 1% level. Hence, it can be inferred that delay in growing charge payments is significantly related to total time taken for paying growing charges to farmers.

Regarding source of working capital, 252 respondents said that the source of working capital is own money and among these, 73% reported that there is no delay in growing charge payments. 27% reported that there is a delay in growing charge payments. 114 respondents said that the source of working capital is bank loan and among these, 58.8% reported that there is no delay in growing charges payments. There are 9 respondents said that the source of working capital is own and loan money and that there is no delay in growing charge payments. The calculated chisquare value 11.569 is higher than the table value of 9.210 at 1% level. Hence, it can be inferred that delay in growing charge payments is significantly related to source of working capital.

The chi-square values are found to be greater than the table value at 1% level of significance for these variables. Hence, the hypothesis related to delay in growing charge payments with respect to the growing charge per kilogram, on-time payment of growing charges, total time taken for paying the growing charges to farmers, and source of working capital.

#### Conclusion

Indian poultry Industry has attained enormous growth in recent times due to increase in family income, more poultry production, falling poultry cost, and a modern younger poultry consuming generation. Predominantly in southern and western India, involvement of poultry integrators has been vital in advanced poultry production and cost reduction (Begum, 2005). The integrators appear to have achieved this by increasing productivity, reducing marketing margins, and customer pricing. In the last twenty years, poultry industry has made a remarkable stride specifically, in the private sector because of which India is now self sufficient in contemporary poultry equipment, skillfully trained resources, readiness of medications/vaccines, and superior quality breeding stocks. Poultry farming had several ups and downs in the last couple of decades as a result of unplanned growth and lack of government regulations. Poultry contract farming can be a profitable business even with irregularities in the industry. The main objective of the study was to find out the financial problems of poultry contract farming in western Tamil Nadu that includes Coimbatore, Tirupur, and Erode. It provided data on the poultry farmers, and their performance in poultry farming business, which is an effective avenue for farmers to increase the income in rural areas.

In this contract farming model, the major problems are low feed conversion ratio, theft of input supplies and broiler birds, mismatches in growing charges, quality of input and output, corruption and labour problems, price rise of farm inputs, demand pricing and surplus production problems, and diseases to poultry. To ensure the health and productivity of poultry products, poultry farmers should continue to employ best management practices recommended by integrators. As a result of these factors, many of the present day poultry practices are based on tested scientific facts. From the detailed study done by the researcher with concrete objectives, the study reveals much information regarding poultry contract farming and its present financial problems of poultry contract farming.

## **Limitations of the Study**

The sample size was restricted to Coimbatore, Erode, and Tirupur districts of Tamil Nadu. The analysis is based on data collection with the help of the interview schedule and this has its own limitations. Majority of the poultry farmers are from this study area and the data collection was done with proper care and to reduce sampling bias. The sample respondents were randomly selected and proportionately distributed across all the study areas. The information provided by the respondents was purely based on their perception only. The quality and reliability of the data collected were the actual expressions of respondents.

## **Implications**

This study will help farmers to understand the problems and challenges of poultry business and for integrators, the issues and perception of farmers in poultry business processes and poultry management practices. Integrators have to create a common understanding with farmers for better productivity and transparent profit sharing system to create a win-win situation. Investment decisions of farmers and integrators are vital to the poultry industry. Finally, it is concluded that the researcher has gained more knowledge and experience in the field of research and it will be even helpful for future research activities as there is a possibility for future research in the same field.

### **Scope for Further Study**

The researcher has identified the following research areas related to the present study which can be attempted by the prospective researchers in future. This study which was conducted in the western region of Tamil Nadu can be extended to the whole of India for better generalization of the findings, but all India studies would involve more time and money which are beyond the means of academic researchers. Similar studies may be extended to other livestock such as cattle, pig, goat, and fisheries.

#### References

- Abhiram, S. (2001). Supply chain management and role of contract farming. *Indian Food Packer*, 51(2), 81-85.
- Bagharwal, R. K. (1989). Poultry in social economic development of small farmers. *Poultry Guide*, 26(6), p. 32.
- Begum, I. A. (2005). An assessment of vertically integrated contract poultry farming A case study in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Poultry Sciences*, *4*(3), 167-176.
- Chengappa, P. G. (2010). *Emerging issues in contract farming in India*. Paper presented at Contract Farming in India—Present scenario and future prospect.
- Devaraj, M., & Anurag (2010). *Contract Farming in India Present scenario and Future Prospects*. New Delhi: Excel India Publishers.
- Gnanakumar, P. B. (2007). Financial feasibility of investments in contract poultry farming. *Indian Journal of Marketing*, 37(12), 14-22.
- Kumar, J., & Kumar, P. K. (2008). Contract farming: Problems, prospects and its effect on income and employment. *Agricultural Economics Research Review*, 21(2), 243-250.
- Mohanty, S., & Rajendran, K. (2003). 2010 vision for Indian poultry. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 2(2), 139-143.
- Vershinin. (1986). Organizational and economic problems of contract farming on the basis of family groups organization. *Ekonomicheslic*, *1*(3), 23-29.

#### **About the Authors**

Dr. V. R. Nedunchizhien is Professor with Department of Management Studies, Kumuraguru College of Technology, Coimbatore, India. He has completed his Masters in commerce from PSG college of Arts and Science in the year 1985 and his masters in Business Administration in the year 1996 from Bharathiyar University, Coimbatore and holds a Doctorate in Commerce in the area of Financial Management from Bharathiar University. He has 22 years of rich experience in the field of academics. He is a member of Board of Studies in various Autonomous college in and around Coimbatore. He is a recognized Research supervisor for management discipline of Anna University, Bharathiar University, Karpagam University etc., He has guided 12 Ph.D. and 60 M.Phil. scholars. His research interests include financial management, capital market, and microfinance.

**Dr. R. Karthikeyan** is an Administrative professional having 10 years of industrial experiences with global leading companies and exposure in various industries including textile machinery, machine tools, foundry, aerospace, automation, life sciences, pump, and food.

He completed his Bachelor of Science in Mathematics (B.Sc.) (2004) from Gandhigram University, Dindugal, Tamil Nadu, India and Post Graduation in Business Management (2006) from Sri Krishna College of Engineering and Technology, Coimbatore. He completed Ph.D. (2015) from Anna University, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India in 2015 in the area of Strategic Management with reference to Business Integration Strategies in food industry.

He is presently working with Lakshmi Machine Works Ltd., Coimbatore as Deputy Manager. He is a certified PPA Practitioner from Thomas International Ltd. and is a member of various associations including National Institute of Personnel Management and Mother Foundation for Social Research, Coimbatore.